

**EKATVAM ACADEMY**  
**SOLUTION FOR PAPER 7 : INCOME FROM OTHER SOURCES**  
**CA/CMA INTER**

**SOLUTION 1**

**Computation of “Income from other sources” of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2024-25**

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Cash gift is taxable under section 56(2)(x), since it exceeds ₹ 50,000	75,000
(2)	Since bullion is included in the definition of property, therefore, when bullion is received without consideration, the same is taxable, since the aggregate fair market value exceeds ₹ 50,000	60,000
(3)	Stamp value of plot of land at Faridabad, received without consideration, is taxable under section 56(2)(x)	5,00,000
(4)	Difference of ₹ 2 lakh in the value of shares of X Ltd. purchased from Mr. C, a dealer in shares, is not taxable as it represents the stock-in-trade of Mr. A. Since Mr. A is a dealer in shares and it has been mentioned that the shares were subsequently sold in the course of his business, such shares represent the stock-in-trade of Mr. A.	-
(5)	Difference between the stamp duty value of ₹ 23 lakh on the date of booking and the actual consideration of ₹ 20 lakh paid is taxable under section 56(2)(x) since the difference exceeds ₹ 2,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration	3,00,000
<b>Income from Other Sources</b>		<b>9,35,000</b>

**Computation of “Capital Gains” of Mr. A for the A.Y.2024-25**

Particulars	₹
Sale Consideration	7,00,000
<b>Less:</b> Cost of acquisition [deemed to be the stamp value charged to tax u/s 56(2)(x) as per section 49(4)]	5,00,000
<b>Short-term capital gains</b>	<b>2,00,000</b>

**Note** – The resultant capital gains will be short-term capital gains since for calculating the period of holding, the period of holding of previous owner is not to be considered.

## SOLUTION 2

### Case 1: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a property dealer

In the hands of Hari, the provisions of section 43CA would be attracted, since the building represents his stock-in-trade and he has transferred the same for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration. U/s 43CA, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakh is received on the date of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised. Therefore **₹75 lakh**, being the difference between the stamp duty value on the date of transfer i.e., ₹ 150 lakh, and the purchase price i.e., ₹75 lakh, would be chargeable as **business income** in the hands of Mr. Hari, since stamp duty value exceeds **110% of the consideration**

Since Mr. Rajesh is a dealer in automobile spare parts, the building purchased would be a capital asset in his hands. The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration. Therefore, **₹ 60 lakh**, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2) (x) in the hands of **Mr. Rajesh**, by crossed since the payment on the date of agreement is made cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay.

### Case 2: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a share broker

In the hands of the seller, Mr. Hari	In the hands of the buyer, Mr. Rajesh
<p>In case Mr. Hari is a share broker and not a property dealer, the building would represent his capital asset and not stock-in-trade. In such a case, the provisions of section 50C would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Hari, since building is transferred for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration.</p> <p>Thus, <b>₹ 75 lakh, being the difference</b></p>	<p>There would be no difference in the taxability in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, whether Mr. Hari is a property dealer or a stock broker, (except where the property transferred in a residential unit fulfilling the stipulated conditions, which is not so in this case).</p> <p>Therefore, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable</p>

**between the stamp duty value on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the purchase price (i.e., ₹ 75 lakh) would be chargeable as short-term capital gains.**

It may be noted that under section 50C, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakhs has been received on the date of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised.

property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration.

Therefore, ₹ 60 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, since the payment on the date of agreement is made by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay.

### SOLUTION 3

Section 145B provides that interest received by the assessee on enhanced compensation shall be deemed to be the income of the assessee of the year in which it is received, irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee and irrespective of the financial year to which it relates.

Section 56(2)(viii) states that such income shall be taxable as 'Income from other sources'. 50% of such income shall be allowed as deduction by virtue of section 57(iv) and no other deduction shall be permissible from such Income.

Therefore, legal expenses incurred to receive the interest on enhanced compensation would not be allowed as deduction from such income.

#### Computation of interest on enhanced compensation taxable as "Income from other sources" for the A.Y 2024-25 :

Particulars	₹
Interest on enhanced compensation taxable u/s 56(2)(viii)	5,00,000
<b>Less: Deduction under section 57(iv) (50% x ₹ 5,00,000)</b>	<b>2,50,000</b>
<b>Taxable interest on enhanced compensation</b>	<b>2,50,000</b>

### SOLUTION 4 :

(i) Any sum of money received by an individual on the occasion of the marriage of the individual is exempt. This provision is, however, not applicable to a cash gift received during a wedding function celebrated on completion of 60 years of age.

The gift of ₹ 51,000 received from a non-relative is, therefore, chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mrs. Hemali, since the same exceeds ₹ 50,000.

- (ii) The provisions of section 56(2)(x) are not attracted in respect of any sum of money or property received from a relative. Thus, the gift of diamond necklace received from her sister, being a relative, is not taxable under section 56(2)(x), even though jewellery falls within the definition of “property”.
- (iii) To be exempt from applicability of section 56(2)(x), the property should be received on the occasion of the marriage of the individual, not that of the individual’s son or daughter. Therefore, this exemption provision is not attracted in this case. Any sum of money received without consideration by an individual is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x), if the aggregate value exceeds ₹ 50,000 in a year. “Sum of money” has, however, not been defined under section 56(2)(x).

**Therefore, there are two possible views in respect of the value of fixed deposit assigned in favour of Mrs. Hemali :**

- (1) The first view is that fixed deposit does not fall within the meaning of “sum of money” and therefore, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) are not attracted. It may be noted that fixed deposit is also not included in the definition of “property”.
- (2) However, another possible view is that fixed deposit assigned in favour of Mrs. Hemali falls within the meaning of “sum of money” received.

**Income assessable as “Income from other sources”**

If the first view is taken, the total amount chargeable to tax as “Income from other sources” would be ₹ 51,000, being cash gift received from a friend on her Shastiapha Poorthi. As per the second view, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would also be attracted in respect of the fixed deposit assigned and the “Income from other sources” of Mrs. Hemali would be ₹ 1,03,000 (₹ 51,000 + ₹ 52,000).